

**Katie Mac**

23m ·



Whew... This is going to be a long post.

A lot of my irl friends on here might have noticed I kind of withdrew a lot for a couple of years after 2020. To be honest, I was dealing with a lot of shit, harassment, and just plain shitty behavior which resulted in depression, anxiety, etc. Obviously, I've been reconnecting and going out more with many of you but I never really explained why I withdrew for a while. Without going into a ton of detail because it could almost be a book at this point, it mostly centered around four people who did some pretty shitty things - which there will be legal action taken shortly about some of this. I'll leave it at that.

So this week I've been having to deal with a lot of crap from these same people and their friends. Things like being told it would be karma if I ended up suicidal, that I was an evil person, etc, etc, etc. Why would anyone do this? Well, their latest justification is that they are claiming that I'm somehow trying to get one of these woman's kid taken away.

Let me explain: This person lost primary custody of her child YEARS ago. It had to do with her sobriety, school attendance due to her issues, and a series of very poor decisions this person made after her husband served her with divorce papers (which she was genuinely surprised by according to the public record). I am being VERY kind by not mentioning the very specifics I could that are part of the public record right now but I will say that I would not make those same choices she obviously thought she could make.

fees

At some point, her husband and her settled their custody dispute with an arrangement for her to get

**Katie Mac**

23m ·



...and right now but I will say that I would not make those same choices she obviously thought she could make.

fees

At some point, her husband and her settled their custody dispute with an arrangement for her to get more and more time with her child as long as she was able to 1) show she was remaining sober while the child was in her care and 2) getting her child to school on time. This seems like basic stuff, right? At some point this year, she decided to appeal that settlement after she claimed she couldn't adhere to those rules and is trying to remove all rules related to drug testing and school attendance.

At some point, her husband reached out to me via a contact form on a page I co-admin. I did not see it for quite some time. I did reach out to him to have a short conversation and followed up with an email saying that my hands were tied on what I could share with him or talk to him about. I provided him several links - to mostly public documents - related to what I went through with his ex-wife.

After this, he subpoenaed me for the additional things I could not provide. I did not fight the subpoena since I wasn't going to incur legal fees to protect this person or their lies. I complied weeks ago, and that was it.

Since then, I've discovered he added me and my co-admin of that website as a potential witness to the hearing he has related to the sobriety/attendance rules. I have yet to be contacted by his lawyer about this. However, in the time since I was added, these people started harassing me, telling people I will end up on a true crime documentary (umm cool?), that it would be karma if I ended up suicidal, sharing things they received in discovery from the ex husband that I guess they think incriminate me, etc?

**Katie Mac**

23m · 🌐



up on a true crime documentary (umm cool?), that it would be karma if I ended up suicidal, sharing things they received in discovery from the ex husband that I guess they think incriminate me, etc?

Ok well... here we go:

1. No one is petitioning the court to take this kid away from this woman. If you want to die on the hill that the woman should not have to be sober around her kid or get her kid to school every day... well... at least be honest about what hill you're dying on, ok? That same motion they're cherry picking once sentence from actually states as much - which seems to be disingenuous if they're trying to be forthcoming
2. I'm not going to waste my money on fighting a subpoena to protect someone who has been downright terrible to me and the people I love. I'm not going to protect someone who has shown themselves to be dishonest.
3. That one little sentence you're sharing that said I shared something unilaterally does not mean I contacted or communicated with him unilaterally. There is actually a legal difference
4. I don't know if I had much to testify about before, but I do now after this. I am 100% game to testify to this bullshit that happened this week. I don't think any judge in the world will think it is a wise move to harass someone because your ex named them as a potential witness. I believe there is a statute about that...

Screenshots below for the relevant shit

P.s. It seems like these yahoos are sharing the email I sent him after he contacted me. With the full links included. Since they got permission from this lady to share the email, you might as well look at the links to



Katie Mac

23m ·



Screenshots below for the relevant shit


P.s. It seems like these yahoos are sharing the email I sent him after he contacted me. With the full links included. Since they got permission from this lady to share the email, you might as well look at the links to see what was sent... now that you know what this is REALLY about. Enjoy that 6 hour video!

2. To the extent any of the requested documents are designated "confidential," Father will appear in said Court and/or a Protective Order, stating that neither party is to share any confidential information with anyone outside of this case; that only the parties, their counsel, and the witnesses necessary to authenticate during a hearing shall be allowed to view documents marked as "confidential" and that none of the documents are to be shared with any third parties. This Protective Order would serve the same purpose as the Protective Orders issued out of the California cases.

3. Father's request for these documents is in response to Mother's *Motion to Modify Parenting Time*, wherein Mother requests that the sobriety and school attendance provisions that ensure Mother is a safe parent for the minor child be removed. Father has not filed his own Motion stating that the child be removed from Mother's care, and therefore the inflammatory arguments that Father is attempting to "tear the minor child away from her Mother" by exercising due diligence is nonsensical.

4. Father received a few documents from Ms. McNamara, unsolicited, that create significant concern both about Mother's sobriety and mental health, and about [redacted]'s physical and emotional safety when in Mother's care, which is what prompted the issuance of the subpoena.

Oh look! No one is taking someone's kid away!



→ New Security 500 Add Link Browser Options 00 11 0000 UploadPlus

Layouts Icons Forms Library

Your Name

Your Telephone Number

Your Email

Your Message
Good morning, I am the soon-to-be former spouse of [redacted] who I lived with up until I filed for divorce in April 2022. We are currently going through a divorce and child custody case. I would like to speak with Katherine [redacted] end/or [redacted] about possibly sharing information relating [redacted] We have an upcoming hearing next month, so this request is time-sensitive. Please feel free to call me on my personal cell phone at [redacted]

Upload Docs

Additional Information

Submission Id Oh look, first contact

Time 2023-03-15 16:13:11

Source Url

Post Id 19700

User Id 0

User Agent
Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_13_6) AppleWebKit/605.1.15 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/13.1.2 Safari/605.1.15

Ip

Privacy Scrub Date

On Privacy Scrub ignore



Like



Send



Share